

PROGRAM REVIEW Fall 2021

Program: Nutrition

Division: PATH

Date: October 21st, 2021

Writer(s): Marsha Vernoga

SLO/SAO Point-Person: Marsha Vernoga

Audience: Deans, Vice Presidents of Student Services and Academic Services, All Planning and Allocation Committees. This document will be available to the public.

Uses: This Program Review will be used to inform the campus and community about your program. It will also be used in the processes of creating Division Summaries, determining College Planning Priorities and allocating resources. A final use is to document fulfillment of accreditation requirements.

Please note: Program Review is NOT in itself a vehicle for making requests. All requests should be made through appropriate processes (e.g., Instructional Equipment Request Process) or directed to your Dean or supervisor.

Time Frame: This Program Review should reflect on program status during the 2021-22 academic year. It should describe plans starting now and continuing through 2022-23.

Sections: There are three sections to this document. Sections and questions identify the name of the committee or office that will use the information and where you can get additional help.

- The first section focuses on general program reflection and planning.
- The second section is a review of curriculum, to be filled out only by programs with curriculum.
- The third section is a review for CTE programs, to be filled out only by these programs.

Topics: The Program Review Glossary defines key terms. Writers should review this glossary before writing: <https://bit.ly/2LqPxOW>

For Help: Contact Nadiyah Taylor: ntaylor@laspositascollege.edu.

A list of contacts for help with specific sections is provided on the Program Review website under the “tools for writers” tab. [<https://bit.ly/3fY7Ead>]

Instructions:

- 1) Please respond to each question with enough detail to present your information, but it doesn't have to be very long.
- 2) If the requested information does not apply to your program, write “Not Applicable.”
- 3) Optional/suggested: Communicate with your dean while completing this document.
- 4) Send an electronic copy of this form to Nadiyah Taylor and your dean by when?

Links:

[Program Review Home Page](#)

[Fall 2020 Program Reviews](#)

[Frequently Asked Questions](#)

Section One: Your Program In 20-21 – Please check N/A where relevant

A. Accomplishments: How did your Program's accomplishments during AY20-21 support the newly revised college mission, the goals of the Educational Master Plan, and/or the President's Call to Action on anti-racism? Areas to consider include impacts to students by race/ethnicity, gender, sexuality, age, or disability status, or those disproportionately impacted by the shift to remote instruction and services.

- [College Mission](#)
- [Educational Master Plan](#)
- [Presidential Task Force: Call to Action](#)

Description	Mission	Master Plan	Presidential Task Force
1 NTRN 1 online courses successfully being updated to meet CVC-OEI standards for accessibility and equity.	X	X	X
2 Topics included and reinforced in online curriculum as it relates to food equity, food policy and justice issues in nutrition courses.	X	X	X
3 PSLO's and SLO's created and mapped out for 3 year cycle	X	X	
4 Nutrition Club still going strong via Zoom, giving students a chance to connect with others and the community	X	X	
5			
6			

Tab to add more lines as needed

B. Challenges, Obstacles and Needs: What significant challenges or obstacles did your Program face during AY20-21 in supporting the newly revised college mission, the goals of the Educational Master Plan, and/or the President's Call to Action on anti-racism? Areas to consider include impacts to students by race/ethnicity, gender, sexuality, age, or disability status, or those disproportionately impacted by the shift to remote instruction and services.

____N/A

Description	Mission	Master Plan	Presidential Task Force
1 Low enrollments and class cancellations.		X	
2 One full-time staff so challenging to expand program in new directions.		X	
3			
4			
5			
6			

Tab to add more lines as needed

C. Planning: What are the most important plans, either new or continuing, for your Program?

____N/A

Plan	New	Continuing	Short term	Long term
Submit NTRN to OEI-CVC for approval			X	
Continue to explore opportunities for KIN-NTRN certificate degree				X
Continue to explore opportunities to work with local prisons				X

Tab to add more lines as needed

D. How have your program's interactions with the larger campus systems benefitted your students? For example, working with allocation committees, participation on committees, etc.
 ____X_N/A

Campus system or Committee	How has it benefitted your students?

E. If you have outreached to students in your department, program or classes, please share information about what you discovered and how you have used the feedback
 ____N/A

Describe student outreach used to gather feedback? For example, through surveys, conversations, etc.	Used surveys in online class to garner feedback for course adjustments and improvements.
What did you learn?	Students are very willing to provide feedback
How will you use the feedback?	Improve courses, both face to face and online

Section Two: Data Analysis – Quantitative and Qualitative

A. IR Data Review: Describe any significant trends in your program's data provided by the office of Institutional Research and Planning. (Note: Not all Programs have IR data available; if your program does not have a data packet or dashboard data, you may note that in the response box.) You may also discuss any other data used by your program for decision-making and planning.

- IR Data packets are available here: <https://bit.ly/2IYaFu7>
- Course Success Rates Dashboard can be found at the bottom of this page: <https://bit.ly/2Y9vGpl>

Total student headcounts have stayed somewhat steady since Fall of 2016- Fall 2019, ranging from 322 enrollments in Fall 2016, to 323 enrollments in Fall 2019. Enrollment has decreased to 246 students in Fall 2020 and 193 students in Spring 2021 likely due to COVID-19.

There are no significant changes other than lower enrollments at this time. Student demographics tend to be stable over time. Obviously, there were more students enrolled in online asynchronous classes this past Fall/Spring (about 90%) and our “hybrid” synchronous classes via zoom had 10% enrolled each semester.

Surprisingly there were more students enrolled in our NTRN courses for “personal development” in comparison to previous semesters in past years (9% vs. 1%).

Student performance has stayed about the same as well throughout the course of the last few years, and only slightly lower (79-80% in the last 3 years; 74% in Spring 2021).

B. Program-Set Standard (Instructional Programs Only): The program-set standard is a baseline that alerts programs if their student success rates have dipped suddenly. There may be many valid reasons a program does not meet the Program Set Standard; when a program does not meet this standard, they are simply asked to examine possible reasons and note any actions that should be taken, if appropriate.

[Program-set standard data can be found on this page:](#)

- Did your program meet its program-set standard for successful course completion?
__X__yes ____no
- If your program did not meet your program-set standard, discuss possible reasons and how this may affect program planning or resource requests.

Course success rates continue to be met for NTRN 1 at higher than 71% . Distribution percentages between students who receive, and A, B, C, D or F remains similar. Overall success rates for 2020-21 is 77%.

SLOs/SAOs:

For assistance with these questions, contact the SLO Committee Chair. [<https://bit.ly/3fY7Ead>]

Each year programs must discuss how their PSLOs, CSLOs, or Service Area Outcomes (SAOs) support the College Mission. This helps us to see how our students are progressing in their learning.

You should complete ONE of the following three sections. Please choose the option that is most appropriate for your program:

- C1: Instructional Programs with PSLOs
- C2: Instructional Programs without PSLOs or with Special Circumstances
- C3: Non-Instructional Programs

Go directly to the section you chose. If you are not sure which option to pick, contact the SLO Committee Chair or Program Review Committee Chair for assistance.

C1: Instructional Programs with PSLOs

PSLO Assessments:

- (1) Please list the PSLO(s) that were reviewed in this last cycle and explain why these were chosen.
- (2) What percentage of faculty completed the planned assessments? (run Faculty Participation report from last year). 0 %
- (3) Did you get the assessment data that you needed to complete this report? If not, then describe the barriers that you can identify. YES Not yet No

Our program completed the new SLO 3 year planning cycle this past summer. We will be completing the first set of assessment data this semester.

- (4) Discuss the findings of the PSLO(s) that were up for review last year (according to your 3-year planning template). What conclusions can be drawn about student learning?

N/A

- (5) Was the data disaggregated and, if so, on what parameters? What, if any, equity issues emerged?

N/A

(6) List changes that you plan on making to improve student learning and address inequities.

N/A

(7) Discuss the challenges, if any, to improving student learning and equity. You may refer back to items listed in Section 1B.

N/A

(8) Are you planning on revising on your 3-year planning template? If so, describe.

_____YES _____No

The 3 year planning template was revised over this past summer (2021) and we will start collecting data this Fall 2021.

C2: Instructional Programs without PSLOs or with Special Circumstances

CSLO Assessments:

Student Learning

(1) List the CSLO(s) that were up for review last year (according to your 3-year planning template) and explain why your department selected these CSLOs for review.

N/A

(2) What percentage of faculty completed the planned assessments? (run Faculty Participation report from last year). _____%

(3) Discussion-based analysis of student learning: Using the CSLO data and answers to the reflection questions, what type of conclusions can be made about student learning?

N/A

(4) Describe the pertinent findings. What, if any, equity issues emerged?

N/A

(5) List changes that you plan on making to improve student learning.

N/A

Assessment Process: To be completed by the department/program or the SLO Coordinator

(1) List changes that you plan on making to improve student learning and address inequities.

N/A

(2) Discuss the challenges, if any, to improving student learning and equity. You may refer back to items listed in Section 1B.

N/A

(3) Are you planning on revising your 3-year planning template? If so, describe.

____YES ____No

N/A

C3: Non-Instructional Programs

SAO Assessments:

Support of Student Learning

(1) List the SAO(s) that were up for review last year (according to your 3-year planning template) and explain why your department selected these SAOs for review.

N/A

(2) What percentage of faculty completed the planned assessments? (run Faculty Participation report from last year). ____%

(3) Discussion-based analysis of student learning: Using the SAO data and answers to the reflection questions, what type of conclusions can be made about student learning?

N/A

(4) Describe the pertinent findings. What, if any, equity issues emerged?

N/A

(5) List changes that you plan on making to improve student learning.

N/A

Assessment Process: To be completed by the department/program or the SLO Coordinator

(6) List changes that you plan on making to improve student learning and address inequities.

N/A

(7) Discuss the challenges, if any, to improving student learning and equity. You may refer back to items listed in Section 1B. Are you planning on revising on your 3-year planning template and, if so, describe?

N/A

(8) Are you planning on revising on your 3-year planning template? If so, describe.

____ YES _____ No

N/A

Program Review Suggestions (optional): What questions or suggestions do you have regarding this year's Program Review forms or process?

I appreciate the ability to just list out everything rather than write a narrative. It is more time efficient and streamlined.

Section Three: Curriculum Review (Programs with Courses Only)

For assistance with this section, contact the Curriculum Committee Chair. [\[https://bit.ly/3fY7Ead\]](https://bit.ly/3fY7Ead)

The following questions ask you to review your program's curriculum. To see the last outline revision date and revision due date:

- 1. Log in to CurricUNET**
- 2. Select "Course Outline Report" under "Reports/Interfaces"**
- 3. Select the report as an Excel file or as HTML**

- A. Title V Updates [Curriculum Committee]: Are any of your courses requiring an update to stay within the 5-year cycle? List courses needing updates below. *Reminder: updates to course title or units, and course deactivations, will require updating any program they are associated with. List programs requiring updating in question (B).***

____YES ____X____No

Course Name & Number
Both NTRN 1 and NTRN are scheduled to be revised in 2024.

- B. Degree/Certificate Updates [Curriculum Committee]: Are there any programs requiring modification? If yes, list them below.**

____YES ____X____No

Certificate or Degree

C. Are there any courses or programs for which a non-mandatory update is planned?

____YES ____X____Not at this time

If yes, explain details, rationale, or any support that might be helpful

--

D. Does your program plan to create any new courses or programs this year?

____YES ____X____No

If yes, please provide details and the rationale

--

Section Four: CTE Updates

(CTE Programs Only)

Vicki Shipman will provide you with or support any data needs

A. Labor Market Conditions: Examine your most recent labor market data (within the last 2 years).

1) Does your program continue to meet a documented labor market demand?

_____YES _____No

2) Does this program represent a training need that is not duplicated in the college's service area?

_____YES _____No

Please explain

B. Advisory Boards: Has your program complied with advisory board recommendations?

_____YES _____No

If not, please explain.

C. Strong Workforce Program Metrics: Utilizing LaunchBoard, review the Strong Workforce Program Metrics. Review the data and then answer the following questions.

C1. Does your program meet or exceed the regional and state medians for increased enrollments, completions, and/or transfer since your last program review?

_____YES _____No

If not, what program improvements may be made to increase this metric?

C2. Does your program meet or exceed the regional and state medians **for students gaining employment in their field of study?**

____YES ____No

If not, what program improvements may be made to increase this metric?

C3. Does your program meet or exceed the regional and state medians **for student employment rates after leaving the college?**

____YES ____No

If not, what program improvements may be made to increase this metric?

C4. Does your program meet or exceed the regional and state medians **for increased student earnings and median change in earnings?**

____YES ____No

If not, what program improvements may be made to increase this metric?