**Program: Chemistry** 

**Division: STEM** 

Date: 09/29/23

Writer(s): Russell Jensen, Chris Dudzik

With the approval of the Academic Senate and the Institutional Planning and Effectiveness Committee, we are moving to a bi-annual cycle of program review updates and full program reviews. Fall 2023 is a Program Update cycle.

**Please note:** Program Update is NOT in itself a vehicle for making requests. All requests should be made through appropriate processes (e.g., Instructional Equipment Requests) or directed to your dean or supervisor.

**Time Frame:** This Program Update *should reflect* on program status during the 2022-23 academic year. It should *describe plans* starting now and continuing through 2023-24.

**Key Terms:** The Program Review Glossary defines key terms that you can review before writing: https://bit.ly/2LqPxOW

### **HELPFUL LINKS:**

- 1) Program Review Committee Page for Writers
- 2) Fall 2023 Program Reviews
- 3) Frequently Asked Questions

For Help: Contact Nadiyah Taylor: <a href="mailto:ntaylor@laspositascollege.edu">ntaylor@laspositascollege.edu</a>.

### **INSTRUCTIONS:**

- 1) Please respond to each question with enough detail to present your information, but it doesn't have to be very long.
- 2) If the requested information does not apply to your program, write "Not Applicable."
- 3) Suggested: Communicate with your dean while completing this document.
- 4) Send an electronic copy of this form to Nadiyah Taylor and your dean by November 1, 2023

### **IMPORTANT CHANGES AND REMINDERS**

Some sections have been removed for ease of completion. However, these important tasks will need to be reviewed by programs:

- ✓ Check for Title V updates required for any of your courses or Programs:
  - 1. To check on the status of courses and programs to see if any updates are required
    - a. Log in to CurricUNET
    - b. Select "Course Outline Report" under "Reports/Interfaces"
    - c. Select the report as an Excel file or as HTML)
  - 2. If updates are needed, submit these updates to the Curriculum Committee
  - 3. Then, compare each Program Map to your current course offerings and course sequencing. Pay close attention to prerequisite information and to classes that may only be offered during certain semesters.
    - a. If your map requires a non-Curricular change (i.e., course sequencing) consult your <u>Pathway counseling faculty liaison</u> to initiate any changes.
    - b. If your map requires a Curricular Change (Program modifications) these are initiated through the Curriculum Committee.
- √ Review your programs to see if there are any modifications needed
- ✓ Review your programs and courses to see if any will be sunset or deactivate

HAS YOUR PROGRAM HAD ANY SIGNIFICANT UPDATES SINCE THE LAST PROGRAM REVIEW?

□ No, I'd like to skip the update this year, and I understand that I can only do this twice in three years.

### THERE ARE TWO SECTIONS:

- 1. Updates All programs (page 3)
- 2. CTE Review CTE programs only (pages 4-7)

### ALL PROGRAMS: SECTION ONE

- 1. Please describe the most important updates, achievements, challenges, or barriers to your program in academic year 22-23.
  - Keeping our sections staffed with adjuncts is a constant struggle. During AY 22-23 we lost three adjunct instructors. A full-time faculty member rearranged his schedule to teach night class in Fall 22 and in Fall 23 so that the classes would not have to be dropped.
  - Participating heavily in new STEAM building use planning. Our department desperately needs more lab space, especially if/when we transition to the 16-week semester. All of our full-time faculty are meeting with builders to plan out lab and common space to be used for the next several decades.
  - Environmental chemistry (Chem 6) and Energy and Sustainability Lab (EVST 5L) were created and are being developed. They were planned for AY 23-24 but lack of FTEF was a problem.
  - Faculty participation in SLO assessments increased from 72% in AY 21-22 to 86% in AY 22-23.
  - Two full-time faculty were awarded tenure.
  - Full-time and adjunct faculty served as mentors to student clubs including the Chemistry Club, the Students for Environmental Action, and the Brazilian Jiu Jitsu Club. One full-time faculty member was instrumental in organizing the Las Positas Climate Action Workgroup.
- 2. What are the most important things your program observed with respect to student learning, equity, and success in 22-23? This could be related to your SLOs or from other sources.

- SLO data from 22/23 were analyzed and presented to department faculty. We are pleased to report that there were no observable equity gaps when "Scientific Method" was assessed in our classes.
- Student numbers in our core classes grew across the board, but particularly with African American (9 to 11), American Indian/Alaska Native (0 to 7), Filipino/Pacific Islander (12 to 22), and Hispanic (43 to 65).

## 3. Got anything new planned for 23-24?

- We applied for a 5<sup>th</sup> full-time faculty member to ease the staffing burden and to help with department goals such as updating lab curriculum and developing zero/low cost textbook options. Hopefully we'll be hiring in the spring.
- Preparing for the possibility of a 16-week semester. With 2 fewer weeks of lab meetings, lab assignments in all courses will need to be dropped and students will be missing out on lab skills important for careers in science, medicine, and engineering unless we are able to reintegrate them into existing lab assignments.

## CTE UPDATE (CTE PROGRAMS ONLY): SECTION TWO

Vicki Shipman will provide you with or support any data needs

LABOR MARKET CONDITIONS: EXAMINE YOUR MOST RECENT LABOR MARKET DATA (WITHIN THE LAST 2 YEARS).

- 1. Demonstrate labor market need (demand completers = need); projected growth for the next five years.
- 2. What is the median income for occupations within your program?

## **ADVISORY BOARDS:**

- Has your program complied with advisory board recommendations?
   i. \_\_\_\_\_YES \_\_\_\_\_\_No
- 2. If not, please explain.

STRONG WORKFORCE PROGRAM METRICS: UTILIZING LAUNCHBOARD, REVIEW THE STRONG WORKFORCE PROGRAM METRICS. REVIEW THE DATA AND THEN REPORT ON YOUR SPECIFIC PROGRAM.

### **Data Reporting Notes:**

Data are suppressed according to FERPA to protect students' personally identifiable information. Suppression takes place when too few students are included in the metric. Meaning, if there is not data, your program did not have a minimum of ten (10) students for this metric.

LaunchBoard data metrics lag in terms of academic year reporting. For your program review

**LPC Equity Definition:** Equity is parity in student educational outcomes. It places student success and belonging for students of color and disproportionately impacted students at the center of focus.

5

SWP metrics, report on the latest year available with a notation of the year. Meaning, if there is not data, your program did not have a minimum of ten (10) students for this metric.

### CI. STRONG WORKFORCE PROGRAM STUDENTS

Report on students in your program who took at least 0.5 units in any single credit course or who had at least 12 positive attendance hours in any noncredit course(s) in the selected year or who enrolled in noncredit course(s) in Spring 2020 or any term in academic year 2021 and who enrolled on a TOP code that is assigned to a vocational industry sector in the selected year.

How may these metrics improve?

# C2. SWP STUDENTS WHO EARNED 9 OR MORE CAREER EDUCATION UNITS IN THE DISTRICT IN A SINGLE YEAR

Report on students in your program, the proportion who successfully completed nine or more career education semester units in the selected year within a single district

How may these metrics improve?

## C3. SWP STUDENTS WHO COMPLETED A NONCREDIT CTE OR WORKFORCE PREPARATION COURSE

Report on students in your program with a noncredit enrollment on a CTE TOP code or a noncredit enrollment in a workforce preparation course, the proportion who completed a noncredit CTE or workforce preparation course or had 48 or more contact hours in a noncredit CTE or workforce preparation course(s) in the selected year

How may these metrics improve?

# C4. SWP STUDENTS WHO EARNED A DEGREE OR CERTIFICATE OR ATTAINED APPRENTICESHIP JOURNEY STATUS

Report on students in your program the number of unduplicated SWP students in your program who earned a noncredit certificate, Chancellor's Office approved certificate, associate degree, and/or CCC baccalaureate degree on a TOP code assigned to a vocational sector and who were enrolled in the district on any TOP code in the selected year or who attained apprenticeship journey status on a vocationally flagged TOP code in the selected year and who were enrolled at any community college at the start of the apprenticeship program on a vocationally flagged TOP code

How may these metrics improve?

#### C5. SWP STUDENTS WHO TRANSFERRED TO A FOUR-YEAR POSTSECONDARY INSTITUTION

Report on students in your program who earned 12 or more units at any time and at any college at any time up to and including the selected year and who exited the community college system, the number of students who enrolled in any four-year postsecondary institution in the subsequent year

How may these metrics improve?

#### C6. SWP STUDENTS WITH A JOB CLOSELY RELATED TO THEIR FIELD OF STUDY

Report on students in your program who responded to the CTE Outcomes Survey and did not transfer to any postsecondary institution, the proportion who reported that they are working in a job very closely or closely related to their field of study.

How may these metrics improve?

#### C7. MEDIAN ANNUAL EARNINGS FOR SWP EXITING STUDENTS

Report on students in your program who exited the community college system and who did not transfer to any postsecondary institution, median earnings following the academic year of exit

**LPC Equity Definition:** Equity is parity in student educational outcomes. It places student success and belonging for students of color and disproportionately impacted students at the center of focus.

7

How may these metrics improve?

#### **C8.** MEDIAN CHANGE IN EARNINGS FOR SWP EXITING STUDENTS

Report on students in your program students who exited and who did not transfer to any postsecondary institution, median change in earnings between the second quarter prior to the beginning of the academic year of entry (for the first time ever as a non-Special Admit or return to any community college after an absence of one or more academic years) and the second quarter after the end of the academic year of exit from the last college attended.

How may these metrics improve?

#### C9. SWP EXITING STUDENTS WHO ATTAINED THE LIVING WAGE

Report on students in your program who exited college and did not transfer to any postsecondary institution, the proportion who attained the district county living wage for a single adult measured immediately following academic year of exit.

How may these metrics improve?