

## **Program Review Committee Minutes**

Wednesday, February 8,  $2023 \mid 3:00-4:30$  p.m.

Recorder: Danielle Bañuelos

| LPC Mission Statement   | LPC Plan   | ning Priorities  |
|---|--|--|
| Las Positas College is an inclusive, learning-centered, equity-focused environment that offers educational opportunities and support for completion of students' transfer, degree, and career-technical goals while promoting life-long learning.   | Establish a knowledge base and an appreciation for equity; create a sense of urgency about moving toward equity; institutionalize equity in decision-making, assessment, and accountability; and build capacity to resolve inequities. | Increase student success and completion through change in college practices and processes: coordinating needed academic support, removing barriers, and supporting focused professional development across the campus. |
| Chair   | Classified Senate (2)  | College Librarian (1)  |
| □ Nadiyah Taylor  | <ul><li>☑ Danielle Bañuelos, Academic Services</li><li>☑ <i>Vacant</i>, Classified Senate</li></ul>  | □ Vacant   |
| Academic Dean (1)   | Faculty Association (1)  | LPC Student Government (1)   |
| ⊠Stuart McElderry, Dean BSSL  | □Vacant  | □Vacant  |
| Faculty (10)  | <b>Student Learning Outcomes (1)</b>   | VP Academic Services or Designee (1)   |
| <ul><li> ⊠ Catherine Suarez, A&amp;H Faculty #1</li><li> □ Vacant, A&amp;H Faculty #2</li></ul>   | □Vacant  | ☐Amy Mattern, Dean A&H   |
| ☐ Marsha Vernoga, PATH Faculty #1 ☐   | Guests   |  |
| <ul> <li>□ Vacant, PATH Faculty #2</li> <li>☑ Irena Keller, BSSL Faculty #1</li> <li>□ Vacant, BSSL Faculty #2</li> <li>☑ Bhairav Singh, STEM Faculty #1</li> <li>□ Vacant, STEM Faculty #2</li> <li>☑ Michael Schwarz, Student Services Faculty</li> <li>□ Vacant, Student Services</li> </ul> | □ Name   |  |

 $\overline{Attendance (Quorum = 5)}$ 

| Agenda<br>Item | Information/Discussion   | Action/Assigned To |
|----------------|--|--------------------|
| 1.             | Call to Order  For information  • Meeting called to order at 3:06 p.m.   |                    |
| 2.             | Review & Approve Agenda – 2/8/2023  For action  • Amendment - removed action item  • Michael Schwarz / Irena Keller – Amended Agenda Approved  |                    |
| 3.             | Review & Approve Prior Minutes – 10/12/2022  For action  Bhairav Singh / Marsha Vernoga – Minutes Approved   |                    |
| 4.             | Action Items For action • None at this time  |                    |
| 5.             | <ul> <li>Old Business         For discussion         How was the Program Review cycle this time?         As a writer, the statistics part of the program review was frustrating because we don't have that data or training to understand it.         It might be nice to have a 1:1 check in midway through the process (around September) to see how the writing is going, and maybe get help with the sections that are most difficult (SLO and Statistics).         The purpose of program review is miscommunicated; it is a way to document needs and accomplishments/challenges of programs, not a way to request needs.         Emails for the division summary meetings were missing some participants on the calendar invitations.</li></ul> |                    |

| _  |  |
|----|--|
|    | <ul> <li>Suggestion: eliminate the 2<sup>nd</sup> reader and have program review reading done in groups at meetings instead of meeting to discuss program review – re-purpose meeting time.</li> <li>Suggestion: assign readers to one division as opposed to multiple so reading stays within division.</li> <li>Timeline: one division Deans wants their program reviews completed before others, so the timeline is tighter. Committee would like to explore extending the reading timeline into January as late fall term is a busy time for everyone.</li> <li>Flex Day sessions for program review writing are very helpful! Please keep these.</li> <li>Suggestion: incorporate Program Review into the 2<sup>nd</sup> hour of the first town meeting in Fall (September) to review data about programs etc.</li> <li>Dean perspective: the division summary meetings were very helpful, and it was nice to have everyone who read a program review from that division present at the meeting.</li> </ul> |
| 6. | <ul> <li>New Business         For discussion         <ul> <li>Discuss software options for Program Review</li> <li>CurrIQunet META software</li> <li>This platform looks better and is more customizable than eLumen, Curriculum Committee really likes it and is ready to make the switch/upgrade. SLO Committee would use it too, and has already had a demonstration. The benefit to Program Review is that the software will automatically insert the SLOs into your program review when you write your assessment of your SLOs. A demonstration of META will be scheduled to allow PR Committee members to see how it would assist in the program review process.</li> <li>eLumen - Tabled</li> </ul> </li> <li>Review draft template of 2023-2024 - Tabled</li> </ul>  |
| 7. | <ul> <li>Updates For information</li> <li>Capturing themes from Program Review this year - Tabled</li> <li>Working on Executive Summary (of the process) for the campus - Tabled</li> <li>Shared Governance Worksheet - Tabled</li> </ul>  |
| 8. | Good of the Order  For information  None at this time  |

Meeting adjourned at 4:30 p.m.

Next meeting: Wednesday, February 22, 2023