

Draft Institutional Planning and Effectiveness Committee Minutes

March 30, 2023 2:30 p.m. – 4:30 p.m.

Recorder: Angelica Cazarez

LPC Mission Statement	LPC Planning Priorities	
Las Positas College is an inclusive, learning- centered, equity-focused environment that offers educational opportunities and support for completion of students' transfer, degree, and career- technical goals while promoting life-long learning.	Establish a knowledge base and an appreciation for equity; create a sense of urgency about moving toward equity; institutionalize equity in decision-making, assessment, and accountability; and build capacity to resolve inequities.	Increase student success and completion through change in college practices and processes: coordinating needed academic support, removing barriers, and supporting focused professional development across the campus.
Chair	Faculty	Classified Professionals
⊠ Rajinder Samra	☐ Nadiyah Taylor, BSSL ☑ Paul Sapsford, PATH	⊠ Heidi Ulrech □ David Rodriguez ⊠ Frances DeNisco ⊠ Jean O'Neil Opipari
Students (NV)	Administrators	Guests (NV)
□Vacant	□Dr. Dyrell Foster, College President □Anette Raichbart, VP Administrative Services □Dr. Kristina Whalen, VP Academic Services □Dr. Jeanne Wilson, VP Student Services □ Chris Crone, DSPS Director	□W.Kossow □Karin Spirn □ Kristy Woods

Attendance (Quorum = 7)

Agenda Item	Information/Discussion	Action
1.	Welcome / Call to Order	None
	For information	
	Meeting called to order at 2:35 PM	
	Quorum met	
2.	Review & Approve Agenda	Agenda
	For action	Approved
	■ March 30, 2023	
	M. Swanson/ F. DeNisco 2 nd	
3.	Review the Results of the Mapping Assignment – R. Samra	Provide feedback
	For review & discussion	to President Foster with
	R. Samra shares the Stakeholders/Actionable Initiatives Form and explains that there may be a need to talk to the	recommendation.
	stakeholders that are not present so they can provide clarity to be able to define the challenge/s as well as provide	Draft one of the
	any solutions/wording for the planning priority. He reiterates that the final recommendation is submitted directly	stakeholder
	from this committee to the College President.	document written
		by F. DeNisco, J.
	Common themes that stand out from the mapping exercise:	O'Neil-Opipari, and R. Samra.
	1. Professional Development: HyFlex training, technology resources, a need for ongoing professional	
	development, training vs. utilization of tools that include end user training. There was a deeper discussion on training vs. profession development.	
	H. Ulrech commented that the IT team is always available to provide training where it is needed. There is	
	discussion about time and availability on top of doing the regular 8-5. F. DeNisco mentions the need for	
	classified staff to have training is a system to be able to complete their job duties (if there is time or not) vs just	
	needing to enhance their jobs with professional development that sits on top of the regular job functions. One	
	example is Banner 8 and Banner 9 most faculty use Banner 8 because they don't have to toggle between two	
	systems. R. Samra summarizes the discussion pertaining to the definitions of professional development. One	

is professional development (skill improvement/enhancement) that is above and beyond your daily work functions and the other is regular on-boarding training to perform your job functions. He also mentions the distinction between technology at the college and technology services at the district level to include end user training on new integrated systems. The current planning priority if reviewed and its noted that professional development is listed (this process provides a more in-depth explanation).

- 2. Technology Upgrading, adding, and effectively utilizing technology: R. Samra mentions there are overlapping themes when it comes to technology and training. For example, the overlap in the need for enduser training to transition to new upgraded systems. He reviews some of the programs and open the discussion. F. DeNisco commented on how different programs don't fit in the one size fits all programs. O'Neil-Opipari mentions that sometimes only one person can perform a function and when that person is out then there is a bottleneck there.
- 3. Staffing R. Samra reviews the exercise and reflects on the feedback regarding the new hires of staff and faculty and yet it is not enough in leadership areas to run a department. H. Ulrech says post COVID resources are needed online and onsite in all departments, which are still affecting retention and success rates. M. Swanson comments that it's more time that is needed to meet with students online to be accessible, but the success rate does not translate. R. Samra continues
- 4. Budget R. Samra reviews the outcomes for budget that include a recurring theme of unstable budgets that are reliant on grants or other inconsistent funding sources. Also another mention of staffing, professional development and the needs that separate divisions. F.DeNisco mentions funding for stationary services such as library. H. Ulrech brings up bond funding and the need for a conversation to happen regarding this special funding that will not always be available to the college. R. Samra asks if the College is doing too much. What services can the college not do anymore? There is a discussion with the committee regarding recruiting and what excess services the college offers, as well as the mental health aspect of it.
- J. Wilson comments about the suggestion of having a focus on a set of things each semester or year, instead of a large all-encompassing list of thigs that need to be completed (bite sized portions vs. large portions). If something is proposed she would also suggest that it is someone outside of the college that can provide the services for balance and wellness (staff and faculty). M. Swanson thinks there should be conversations around this as well as continue to be student centered. The discussion ends with a suggestion to submit the method of inquiry to be addressed to the stakeholder's document to the College President. The committee is suggesting Adam Grant to provide the professional development/Consult.

Stakeholder can be VPs or President it is yet to be determined. There will be more discussion regarding

	recommendations for the current planning priorities. Next meeting will be one hour in length.	
4.	Adjournment	
	Meeting adjourned 3:55 p.m.	
	M.Swanson/PSapsfors 2 nd	

Next Meeting March 30, 2023