APPROVED

Facilities & Sustainability Committee
November 17, 2014
Minutes

Call to Order at 2:35 p.m.

Minutes from October 20, 2014 were reviewed. **Moved to approve**: Eric Harpell/Second: Ernie Jones. **Vote:** Approved unanimously

College council report Dyan—bike path connections, charge updated, and energy group, water polo team was approved and passed. People were interested in the bike path and thought it was a good idea. Dyan is going to be the college council rep.

Construction update

See attachment A

When will the library move in, scheduled for spring break first week of April last week of March 2015.

Renovation projects—100, 900, 1000, 1310/1320, 1700.

Small projects discussion for Mertes center

Significant discussion around the sound proofing of the Mertes center classroom.

There is a motion it increase the budget to meet the recommendations from the Charles saulter and go to DSA to fix the issues.

Moved/ Horvath/ Seconded/ Jones Discussion—8 votes and one abstention

Prop 39 energy grants updates

Comments:

Dyan—thank you for the portables being removed up in the PE center.

Eric Harpell—if any items for the energy/lighting consulting he would like to be a part of that. He teaches astronomy and the lighting really impacts his program. LED lighting is helping his existing issues.

Katie Eagan updated the group on the accreditation "Functional Map." S-= secondary responsibly, SH=shared responsibly, P=primary responsibility.

First column (Left) is what was reported in 2009. Far right is what is being presented from district as what should be reported to the next report.

Discussion around who is primary and secondary conclusion. ***See attachment B***

Group accepts everything as shared responsibility as listed on the functional map ***(attachment B).***

Look at the evaluation of facilities.

APPROVED

Reported by Doug: 1 million grant submitted asking to buy this battery that is the size of a couple of shipping containers. We would put $300,000 of district money, to leverage the 1 million. The payoff happens in 3-4 years. The energy that is generated by the solar panels goes to PG&E and we don't receive that credit. The weekends are the only time that we don't use the power and we give it back to PG&E. The battery charges up and then it is redeployed into the campus when the campus needs it.

Question: Where would the batter be located? We don't know yet.

How long does the batter last—manufacture says 20 years. How do we dispose of it? Not, as a normal way of disposing of the battery. Long term safety question came up. President Russell wrote a letter of support. The district business office wrote a letter of support to say we will come up with $300,000 to fund it. There is a district wide fund to support energy--Prop 39 funds. District wide energy, it will not come from LPC.

Concerns about how it is disposed, and the group would like the contract to include information about the disposal of the agreement. They would like discussion about placement of the battery.

Information item: we are in the preliminary stages of getting together a cell tower on campus. A few sites have been identified as the best location according to what the carriers would like. DAS system would be provided by the carriers as a part of the installation of the cell tower. No cost to the campus is the end goal.

We will continue keep the committee up to date as more information appears.

Next meeting to be 12/15, February date TBD.

Adjourned at 3:55p.m.