Facilities Committee

March 17, 2014
2:30pm Room 1874

MINUTES

Present: **Members:** Katie Eagan, Richard Grow, PJ Johnson, Dyan Miller, Janice Noble, Tamara Landicho, Natasha Landicho,

Guests: Rita Carson, Doug Horner, Deanna Horvath, Candace Klaschus, Ann Kroll,

---

Called to Order: 2:34 pm

1. **Welcome**

2. **Review and Approval of December Minutes**
   - **Motion: To Approve December Minutes:**
     Moved: J. Noble /Second: J. Cantua
     Approved: 1 Abstention

3. **Review and Approval of February Minutes**
   - **Motion: To Approve February Minutes:**
     Moved: D. Miller /Second: R. Grow
     Approved: As written corrections to be sent to Renee

4. **Updates**

Construction – Presentation *(**Attachment A**)*
DSA Approved

Question – Building 1000 will be demolished once vacated.
Question – Fencing? The temporary fencing around the construction site.
Amphitheater – Next meeting Wednesday, March 26, 2014 at 830am.
We are actually picking up additional seating with removing the mow ramps
Prop 39 Projects – we received pricing for the HVAC and the exterior lighting. Reviewed and evaluated.

This is not associated with the Photovoltaic system.
Q – What is the re-commissioning of 1800-2400? *Hiring a consultant to review and inspect a building for more efficient monitoring.*

LAS POSITAS
COLLEGE

Facilities Committee

March 17, 2014
2:30pm Room 1874

Q - What about the pool? This was mentioned and we are requesting more information and numbers for both pools at Chabot and LPC. You have to show a 10% percent on return for Investment.

Q – No solar? Not at this time.

Q – Will the contractors be using parking Lots? Some of parking Lot F.

Q – Walk way between 2400 and 2100…the DG is very slippery. Can we cover it with bark? Ann will talk with M&O again.

Campus – Warranty is up on the SSA Building. With the exception of the windows in the West wing.

Gold LEED Status for the Science Building Expansion

mtvU – Follow up on content.

Nike Art Project – Picked the site. Where the Olive tree is and the tree will be replanted. Doug will help to make sure the entire scope of work is addressed in the contract. Choosing art work for the Mertes Center.

User Group Process – New Building – We have the new building project. Scope and committee Users Group. To develop a process, had a conversation with College Council as to how we should move forward. Go thru 2 collections process – Program review by Deans for their area. Go to each of the campus committees to have a discussion as to what they think are most important things for the building. No input from anybody…a building with just classrooms. Minimum all standard class rooms. Campus wide needs other than classrooms. If there is a specific need. Hoping to come to a conclusion by the end of May.

Comment – The small projects items should be looked at as well.

Q – Rumor that the division that hires more people will get office priority in the new building. Is that true? *No that is not how the office prioritization works.*

Q - Is there a sense that they will be larger classrooms? *We need to look at the needs for the campus*.

Q - Will Photography and VCOM get priority*? Obviously, we will need to relocate these disciplines as we are removing their building. It doesn't necessarily mean they will be in the new building.*

Facilities Committee

March 17, 2014
2:30pm Room 1874

District – RFQ for architects are due next week. We will then rank them and create small pools for each campus. Interview team to interview. The hope is to have the selected architect approved by the Board at the May.

5. **Old Business**

Small Projects – Review

Q- ALSS did not rank? They chose not to rank.

Projects 33 and 8 were priority. 12 becomes a student safety issue. 9 – storage. Lower were English Center redesign. The discussion of how to balance.

Q – PE212 chair and desk issue didn't seem as much of an emergency. For the Faculty discussed the configuration of the room. Students falling out of a chair. A lot of discussion. To many seats.

Look at the top 3 or 4 of each division as a starting point as safety issues. Make a recommendation of the top 4-5 of each division and send the whole list to Executive Staff to get moving forward. Get the top 5 for each division.

Motion to Submit the top 5 for each division
K. Eagan/T. Inzerilla
Approved: Unanimously

Accreditation
Review spreadsheet.
Question -

6. **Good of the Order**

7. **Adjournment @ 431pm**

Facilities Committee

March 17, 2014
2:30pm Room 1874