

LPC Mission Statement

Las Positas College is an inclusive learning-centered institution providing educational opportunities and support for completion of students' transfer, degree, basic skills, career-technical, and retraining goals.

LPC Planning Priorities

- ❖ Establish regular and ongoing processes to implement best practices to meet ACCJC standards.
- ❖ Provide necessary institutional support for curriculum development and maintenance.
- ❖ Develop processes to facilitate ongoing meaningful assessment of SLOs and integrate assessment of SLOs into college processes.
- ❖ Expand tutoring services to meet demand and support student success in Basic Skills, CTE, and Transfer courses.

Academic Senate

Members:

Senate President: Melissa Korber

Senate VP: Tina Inzerilla, Ph.D.

Senate Secretary: Joel Gagnon

Senate Treasurer: Kimberly Tomlinson

Senate Admin Assist: Rifka Several

A&H: Cindy Rosefield, Toby Bielawski, Catherine Suarez

SLPC: Robin Roy, John Rosen

STEM: Ashley Young, Michael Peterson

BHAWK: Andrew Cumbo, Darcy Earnst

Student Services: Heike Gecox

Part-time Faculty: Vicki Pabley, Jeff Judd (Chelsea Kubischta, alternate)

Faculty Association:

Student Government: Kirstie Burgess

1. GENERAL BUSINESS

Melissa Korber

1.1 Call to Order/Quorum: meeting called to order at 2:33

1.2 Approval of Agenda: MOTION TO APPROVE:

moved/seconded/approved

1.3 Review and Approval of Minutes (September 26, 2018): MOTION TO APPROVE: moved/seconded/approved

1.4 Public Comments (This time is reserved for members of the public to address the Academic Senate. Please limit comments to three minutes. In accordance with the Brown Act, the Academic Senate cannot act on these items.)

2. ACTION ITEMS

None

3. CONSENT ITEMS: MOTION TO APPROVE: moved/seconded/approved

3.1 State-wide Academic Senate campus contact for Online Educational Resources: Lyndale Garner

4. REPORTS

4.1 Basic Skills: Michelle Gonzales/Ashley McHale - Michelle presented budget allocations; they have reviewed new proposals to spend down additional funding. No change to the charge is necessary. Budget items to be voted on: RAW, ESL and professional development (these funds are not pre-allocated to individuals; apply for funding for activities relating to Basic Skills. Don't expect funding items to "roll over" as you will need to reapply.)

4.2 Program Review: Karin Spirn - Reviews are due Oct. 22; email to Karin and your dean. The deans will write summaries.

4.3 Institutional Planning and Effectiveness: Karin Spirn - They discussed the funding model and vision for success goals, with data to improve these metrics.

4.4 Distance Education: Christina Lee (will report next meeting)

4.5 Faculty Association: Heike Gecox - Training in evaluation process will be presented at the next FLEX day. No report on negotiations as yet.

4.6 Student Success: Nessa Julian (not present)

4.7 CTE Liaison: Open position; they are looking at setting up a Makers Space.

4.8 Student Senate: Kirstie Burgess – Still working on bylaws; hosting Campus Campfires; developing hunger and homeless week; the food pantry is open next Thursday.

4.9 Treasurer: Kimberly Tomlinson (not present)

4.10 President: Melissa Korber

4.10.1 Still need a representative for Educational Support Services

4.10.2 Opening on District Facilities Committee

4.10.3 For district committees on hiring: members should contribute to the meeting times and timeline due to schedules

4.10.4 From Chancellor's council: there is a timeline for the Vision for Success Goals.

Recommendations to college presidents are due by the end of October. Chabot Academic Senate is proposing some changes. The shared governance policy working group includes the academic, student, and classified senates.

4.10.5 Guided Pathways is proposed to be a committee reporting to College Council – it should report to Academic Senate as well due to 10 + 1 issues, so this change has been suggested. GP is not opposed to this. At Chabot, GP reports to RAC.

5. OLD BUSINESS

5.1 District Integrated Planning and Budget – Tom Orf: DEMC received projected numbers for next year; we will add a 1% growth from last year's numbers. As a whole, the college is looking good; up over 4%. The last meeting topic was "roll back" (FTS from the state is based on enrollment but we can roll back summer enrollment). With the new funding formula, there is a proposal to roll back all the summer enrollment into the previous year; this is a risk. First, if we continue to go with the same funding from "hold harmless" we will suffer. Secondly, what do we do with the funding? Chabot is in favor of the entire rollback as it creates \$7 million over three years. LPC is not willing to go with this; Sarah Thompson presented data to support our stand. Is DEMC the arena for this discussion? At the last time there was a rollback, the majority of funding went to Chabot faculty to increase enrollment.

The vote was taken and it went according to campus, with most district administrators abstaining (the two that voted with Chabot passed the vote). So there will be a full rollback. Tom wants to see money set aside for the upcoming shortfall. Rajeev thinks that there needs to be careful management – this is a one-time funding not to be spent on ongoing costs.

The Funding Formula Committee (chaired by Ron, Rajinder and IR from Chabot) was established by Susan Cota. The core representatives were changed after the initial group meeting and presented at Chancellor's Council. However, the representation was changed back, and the FFC will be asked to review the representation again.

If we look at the wider view, there is a problem between the two campuses. The new norm is a lack of trust. We need to move forward with improving this situation.

Discussion on if Academic Senate should take a position about this. Further discussion next meeting if necessary.

5.3 Possible endorsement of CLPCCD Vision for Success Goals: What is the implication about adopting these goals? Some of the goals do not seem achievable. Academic Senate does not have to endorse; Chabot is proposing changes. It was taken to divisions; take it back to divisions to request approval or abstention, or to propose changes.

5.4 Academic Senate Bylaws and Constitution Task Force – Joel Gagnon: MOTION to table

5.5 Continued discussion, Board policy 4240 Academic Renewal (attached) with input from Joel Gagnon (attached):

Discussion about students who bring in course work from other institutions that meets standards even if they do not meet standards at LPC. The unacceptable grade is excluded. He presented a proposal that permits repetition of courses at other institutions to replace unacceptable grades. If we are in agreement, we should talk with Chabot and then pass at the Senate level and forward to College Council. Joel will develop a formal board policy for the next Senate meeting.

5.6 Response to AP 5530, Student Rights and Grievances (attached): MOTION to table

6. NEW BUSINESS

6.1 Faculty Hiring Prioritization Committee – 17 Positions Ranked (attached) – Heike Gecox: Six were replacements due to leaving or retiring; the faculty made comments but the committee made decisions that were felt to be best for the college. Roanna will review the rankings. Take this information to divisions; it will be an action item for the next meeting.

6.2 Funding for “Know Your Rights” cards – Michelle Zapata: The UndocuAlly Task Force is helping students navigate the current political climate. To share information, they want to print cards about what to do in different situations. ACLU sells these cards. Discussion about getting sponsors and donations; request for Academic Senate funding. **PROPOSAL TO DONATE \$200 from the Academic Senate:** *this will be on the consent calendar for approval at the next meeting.*

6.3 Resolutions F18 Area Meetings – Melissa Korber: MOTION to table; need someone to attend Nov. 3 weekend.

7. GOOD OF THE ORDER

7.1 Announcements/Comments

8. ADJOURNMENT: MOTION TO ADJOURN: moved/seconded/approved

9. NEXT MEETING – October 24, 2018